

## DAVID DOUGLAS WINTERS

B.S., M.S., D.LL., J.D. PATENT ATTORNEY

## In The United States Patent and Trademark Office

January 6, 2005

**COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS** 

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Subj: Reply to Office Action of 09/24/2004

Appn. Number: 10/700,255

Appn. Filed: 03 November 2003

Applicant: Freddie Ray Roberts /

HAVING IMPROVED POWER, EFFICIENCY AND
EMISSIONS Title: Modular Structures and Connector Assembly Apparatus

Examiner: Katrina B. Harris

Art Unit: 3747

Att'y Docket: 030403(R) Roberts

## Dear Madam:

In response to the requirement for election of species per office action letter of 09/24/2004 from examiner Katrina B. Harris, enclosure (1), and pursuant to the 22 December 2004 phone conversation between attorney David Douglas Winters and the above examiner, the applicant hereby elects species I as identified by examiner...

However, the applicant also offers traverse of this requirement as it applies to examiner identified species I and species II. In this traverse, applicant stipulates that examiner identified species I and species II are not patentably distinct. In evidence of this, applicant offers that all claims applicable to either of these species are applicable to the other. Applicant, accordingly, requests that identified species I and species II be examined as variants of a single invention.

Applicant does not offer traverse with respect to the identified species III distinction.

Claims readable on the elected species are claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 26.

Figures applicable to the elected species are, for species I, figures 1 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 13. For species II figures 3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 apply.

Please note that enclosure (2) is a copy of the previously submitted petition for (three month) extension of time.

Very respectfully,

David Douglas Winters USPTO reg.# 50,746

Encl: (1) Office Action Letter of 09/24/2004

(2) Petition for Extension of Time